



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/777,586	02/12/2004	Roger Howard Williams III	U 015456-6	4935
27730	7590	02/25/2009	EXAMINER	
DILWORTH PAXSON LLP 1500 Market Street Suite 3500 E PHILADELPHIA, PA 19102			SUBRAMANIAN, NARAYANSWAMY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3695	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/25/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/777,586	Applicant(s) WILLIAMS, ROGER HOWARD	
	Examiner Narayanswamy Subramanian	Art Unit 3695	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Narayanswamy Subramanian. (3) Steven Wallach (Reg. No. 35,402).
(2) Charles r. Kyle (SPE, AU 3695). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 February 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 105 and 107-111.

Identification of prior art discussed: None.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner Subramanian agreed to withdraw the 101 rejections in view of In re Bilsky. Examiner clarified the rejections of claims under 112, second paragraph made in the last office action. Attorney Wallach agreed to consider the points discussed in the interview before filing a response to the outstanding office action.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Narayanswamy Subramanian/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3695